UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,158	12/10/2004	Jean-Michel Reynes	SC0985ET	2901
23125 FREESCALE	7590 05/08/2007 SEMICONDUCTOR, IN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAW DEPAR'	TMENT	INGHAM	INGHAM, JOHN C	
AUSTIN, TX	ARMER LANE MD:TX 78729	32/PL02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,			2814	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,158	REYNES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John C. Ingham	2814	

	John C. Ingham	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing) b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cot (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6,7,10,11 and 14-16.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit are after evidence is entered. As evidence is an action.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	To the status of the claims after e	filly is below of allaci	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nte because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		AD WEISS
		✓ PRIMARY	EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of the Action filed 24 January is maintained. The Tsoi reference teaches patterning insulated gate regions to form a plurality of implanted base region branches with juxtaposed ends, then diffusing the branches. As seen in the reference Fig 1, the branches (19) are merged together to form a single and substantially uniformly doped base region.